

Erik F. Stidham (ISB #5483)  
Jennifer M. Jensen (ISB #9275)  
Zachery J. McCraney (ISB #11552)  
HOLLAND & HART LLP  
800 W. Main Street, Suite 1750  
Boise, ID 83702-5974  
Telephone: 208.342.5000  
Facsimile: 208.343.8869  
E-mail: [efstidham@hollandhart.com](mailto:efstidham@hollandhart.com)  
[jmjensen@hollandhart.com](mailto:jmjensen@hollandhart.com)  
[zmccraney@hollandhart.com](mailto:zmccraney@hollandhart.com)

*Counsel for Plaintiffs*

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE  
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ADA**

ST. LUKE'S HEALTH SYSTEM, LTD; ST.  
LUKE'S REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER,  
LTD; CHRIS ROTH, an individual;  
NATASHA D. ERICKSON, MD, an  
individual; and TRACY W. JUNGMAN, NP,  
an individual,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

AMMON BUNDY, an individual; AMMON  
BUNDY FOR GOVERNOR, a political  
organization; DIEGO RODRIGUEZ, an  
individual; FREEDOM MAN PRESS LLC, a  
limited liability company; FREEDOM MAN  
PAC, a registered political action committee;  
and PEOPLE'S RIGHTS NETWORK, a  
political organization,

Defendants.

Case No. CV01-22-06789

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD  
OF ATTORNEYS' FEES PURSUANT TO  
COURT'S APRIL 25, 2023 ORDER  
GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION  
FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST  
RODRIGUEZ FOR FAILURE TO  
COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS**

COME NOW Plaintiffs, by and through their attorneys of record, Holland & Hart LLP,  
and submit this Memorandum in support of their Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Against Rodriguez Pursuant to The Court’s April 25, 2023 Order Granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Sanctions Against Rodriguez for Failure to Comply with Court Orders (“Motion for Sanctions”).

## **I. BACKGROUND**

Plaintiffs filed the Motion for Sanctions with supporting documents on March 7, 2023. *See* Order Granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Sanctions Against Rodriguez for Failure to Comply with Court Orders (“Order”) at 1.

The Court held oral argument on Plaintiffs’ Motion for Sanctions on March 21, 2023. *Id.* at 2. Defendant Diego Rodriguez did not appear. *Id.* The Court ultimately: (i) entered sanctions pursuant to Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 37(b)(2) against Defendant Diego Rodriguez for failure to pay the deposition costs ordered in the Order Awarding Fees entered December 13, 2022 and also for violating the Order Compelling Rodriguez to Respond to Discovery, entered February 8, 2023, by failing to provide viable dates and for attempting to designate Brazil for a deposition; (ii) pursuant to Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 37(b)(2) ordered the appointment of a Discovery Referee and that Defendant Diego Rodriguez attend a deposition in Boise no later than May 24, 2023, and produce certain documents withheld in discovery; and (iii) ruled that Plaintiffs “are entitled to costs for the filing of this Motion.” *Id.* at 7-12.

Plaintiffs now file this memorandum of fees and costs pursuant to the Court’s Order filed April 25, 2023, and Rule 37(b)(2)(C).

## **II. PLAINTIFFS’ FEES AND COSTS INCURRED IN RELATION TO THE MOTION TO COMPEL**

This Court has already found that attorneys’ fees and costs should be awarded to Plaintiffs. Accordingly, this memorandum solely focuses on the reasonableness of the fees and costs incurred.

Once a court determines that a party is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees, it then considers the factors set out in Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 54(e)(3) to determine the amount of attorneys' fees to be awarded. The Rule 54(e)(3) "factors guide the trial court in fixing the amount to be awarded as reasonable attorney fees." *Nalen v. Jenkins*, 113 Idaho 79, 83, 741 P.2d 366, 369 (Idaho Ct. App. 1987). "Under Rule 54(e)(3) the trial court is required to consider the existence and applicability of each factor." *Id.* But "[n]o element is to be given undue weight or emphasis." *Id.* Under Rule 54(e)(3), the factors are:

- (A) the time and labor required;
- (B) the novelty and difficulty of the questions;
- (C) the skill requisite to perform the legal service properly and the experience and ability of the attorney in the particular field of law;
- (D) the prevailing charges for like work;
- (E) whether the fee is fixed or contingent;
- (F) the time limitations imposed by the client or the circumstances of the case;
- (G) the amount involved and the results obtained;
- (H) the undesirability of the case;
- (I) the nature and length of the professional relationship with the client;
- (J) awards in similar cases;
- (K) the reasonable cost of automated legal research (Computer Assisted Legal Research), if the court finds it was reasonably necessary in preparing a party's case; and
- (L) any other factor which the court deems appropriate in the particular case.

I.R.C.P. 54(e)(3). Although the Court must consider the Rule 54(e)(3) factors, a specific written finding on each of the various factors is not necessary. See *State v. Baeza*, 161 Idaho 38,

383 P.3d 1208, 1213 (2016). Applying those Rule 54(e)(3) factors that bear the most weight in the instant matter, Plaintiffs are entitled to all of their attorneys' fees requested in this Memorandum.

**A. THE TIME AND LABOR REQUIRED**

The Motion for Sanctions and supporting memorandum required consideration and application of the discovery rules and attendant case law, analysis of Defendant Diego Rodriguez's failure to comply with previous orders of this Court, the potential deposition of Defendant Diego Rodriguez in Brazil, and the specific circumstances of this lawsuit. Plaintiffs' counsel worked efficiently in preparing the documentation for its motion for sanctions and for the award of attorney's fees in response to the Order granted by the Court, as demonstrated in **Exhibit A** to the Stidham Declaration filed concurrently with this brief ("Stidham Decl.").

Moreover, Plaintiffs do not seek all of their fees incurred in preparing and arguing the Motion for Sanctions. Instead, they only seek some of the fees incurred in drafting the motion and attending oral argument. *See* Stidham Decl., ¶¶ 11-13.

Plaintiffs also seek their attorneys' fees incurred in preparing this request for attorneys' fees. *BEKO Constr. v. J-U-B Eng'rs Inc.*, 149 Idaho 294, 298, 233 P.3d 1216, 1220 (2010) (holding that "courts may award reasonable attorney fees incurred in connection with the effort to secure a reasonable amount of attorney fees"), overruled in part on other grounds by *Keybank Nat'l Ass'n v. PAL I, LLC*, 155 Idaho 287, 311 P.3d 299 (2013).

**B. THE SKILL REQUIRED TO PERFORM THE LEGAL SERVICE**

The Plaintiffs in this matter were represented by counsel with experience litigating similar complex commercial disputes and preparing similar motions. *See* Stidham Decl., ¶ 9.

Erik F. Stidham, a partner at Holland & Hart's Boise office, was the most senior attorney on the

litigation. *Id.* He manages the representation in this matter, working with other attorneys from Holland & Hart's commercial litigation practice group. *Id.*

For the Motion for Sanctions, Mr. Stidham primarily relied on the research and drafting assistance of a fourteen-year attorney and a fifth-year attorney, whose work he directed. *Id.* at Exhibit A. Mr. Stidham and the fourteen-year attorney appeared at the hearing and argued the Motion for Sanctions. *Id.* For the drafting of documents required to receive reimbursement of attorney's fees, Mr. Stidham primarily relied on the drafting assistance of a second-year attorney. *Id.* In an effort to seek only the most conservative, core amount of fees, the instant motion voluntarily foregoes certain fees incurred by other attorneys who worked on the motion even though all fees incurred were reasonable. *See id.*, ¶ 11.

The lawyers on the case team did not duplicate work. *Id.*, ¶ 14. Their experience in handling litigation of this sort allowed them to avoid duplicate billing and efficiently delegate work to the appropriate level, as reflected in Exhibit A. *See id.*

### **C. PREVAILING CHARGES FOR LIKE WORK**

The hourly rates charged for the services provided to Plaintiffs are reasonable for the type of work performed and are comparable to those for similar services in the Treasure Valley performed by attorneys of comparable skill and experience. *See Stidham Decl.*, ¶ 17. The rates charged are Holland & Hart's standard hourly rates reduced by 10 to 15%. *Id.*, ¶ 6.

Moreover, courts have repeatedly found Holland & Hart's standard rates to be reasonable and in accord with the market. *See Edmark Auto Inc. v. Zurich Am. Ins. Co.*, No. 1:15-cv-00520-BLW, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 39160, at \*10 (D. Idaho Mar. 1, 2021) ("It has [] been this Court's experience that attorneys at regional firms, such as Holland & Hart, charge hourly rates at or near, but not above, the high end of acceptable rates for the Boise area.") (citation omitted); see

also *Bank of Am. v. Neef*, No. CV-OC 13-19726 (Idaho Fourth Judicial District, Ada County) (allowing the hourly rates of the attorneys representing the receiver of \$385 for a senior partner; \$300 for a junior partner; and \$245 for an associate in 2013); *Cnty. House, Inc. v. City of Boise, Idaho*, No. 1:05-cv-00283-CWD, 2014 WL 1247758, at \*6 (D. Idaho Mar. 25, 2014) (approving rate of \$400 per hour in 2014); *Lakeview Cheese Co. v. Nelson-Ricks Creamery Co.*, No. 4:13-cv-00361-BLW, 2015 WL 769960 (D. Idaho Feb. 23, 2015) (permitting the following rates in 2015: \$455 for a senior litigation partner; \$310 for a junior litigation partner).

**D. WHETHER THE FEE IS FIXED OR CONTINGENT**

The fee agreement in this case is based on an hourly rate. *See* Stidham Decl., ¶ 4. Such an agreement is an appropriate basis for attorneys' fees. *Id.*

**E. RESULTS OBTAINED**

Plaintiff's prevailed in their requests to impose sanctions upon Defendant Diego Rodriguez for his failure to supplement discovery responses, provide viable deposition dates to Plaintiffs, and pay deposition costs incurred by Plaintiffs. *See* Order at 7-9. The Court also, pursuant to Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 37(b)(2), appointed a Discovery Referee or Discovery Master to be available to resolve discovery disputes between Plaintiffs and Rodriguez during the deposition in Boise, Idaho and compelled Bundy to attend a deposition and produce documents he has withheld. *Id.* at 9-10.

**III. CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiffs respectfully request this Court enter an award in their favor for reasonable fees and costs in the amount of \$5,350.65, to be paid within 14 days of this Court's Order on Plaintiff's Motion, or by a date certain to be set by the Court.

DATED: May 2, 2023.

HOLLAND & HART LLP

By: /s/ Erik F. Stidham

Erik F. Stidham

Jennifer M. Jensen

Zachery J. McCraney

*Counsel for Plaintiffs*

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 2nd day of May, 2023, I caused to be filed and served, via iCourt, a true and correct copy of the foregoing by the method indicated below, and addressed to the following:

Ammon Bundy for Governor  
P.O. Box 370  
Emmett, ID 83617

- U.S. Mail
- Hand Delivered
- Overnight Mail
- Email/iCourt/eServe:

Ammon Bundy for Governor  
c/o Ammon Bundy  
4615 Harvest Ln.  
Emmett, ID 83617-3601

- U.S. Mail
- Hand Delivered
- Overnight Mail
- Email/iCourt/eServe:

Ammon Bundy  
4615 Harvest Ln.  
Emmett, ID 83617-3601

- U.S. Mail
- Hand Delivered
- Overnight Mail
- Email/iCourt/eServe:

People's Rights Network  
c/o Ammon Bundy  
4615 Harvest Ln.  
Emmett, ID 83617-3601

- U.S. Mail
- Hand Delivered
- Overnight Mail
- Email/iCourt/eServe:

People's Rights Network  
c/o Ammon Bundy  
P.O. Box 370  
Emmett, ID 83617

- U.S. Mail
- Hand Delivered
- Overnight Mail
- Email/iCourt/eServe:

Freedom Man Press LLC  
c/o Diego Rodriguez  
1317 Edgewater Dr. #5077  
Orlando, FL 32804

- U.S. Mail
- Hand Delivered
- Overnight Mail
- Email/iCourt/eServe:

Freedom Man Press LLC  
c/o Diego Rodriguez  
9169 W. State St., Ste. 3177  
Boise, ID 83714

- U.S. Mail
- Hand Delivered
- Overnight Mail
- Email/iCourt/eServe:

Freedom Man PAC  
c/o Diego Rodriguez  
1317 Edgewater Dr., #5077  
Orlando, FL 32804

- U.S. Mail
- Hand Delivered
- Overnight Mail
- Email/iCourt/eServe:

Diego Rodriguez  
1317 Edgewater Dr., #5077  
Orlando, FL 32804

- U.S. Mail
- Hand Delivered
- Overnight Mail
- Email/iCourt/eServe: [dr238412@me.com](mailto:dr238412@me.com);  
[freedommanpress@protonmail.com](mailto:freedommanpress@protonmail.com)

*/s/ Erik F. Stidham*

---

Erik F. Stidham  
OF HOLLAND & HART LLP

21368482\_v1